

Sh. Rajnish Kumar, President #19274, Street No.6, Bibi Wala Road, Bathinda

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal, DAV College, Malout Distt Mukatsar Sahib First Appellate Authority, O/o Principal, DAV College, Malout, Distt Mukatsar Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4355 of 2019 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Rajnish Kumar the appellant (ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.09.2020

passed by the Commission vide which the appellant was directed to visit the office of the respondent.

2. Today, the appellant Sh. Rajnish Kumar is appearing through CISCO WEBEX. He

states that he has already sent his reply to the Commission office. The reply is as under:-

"As per your instructions I visited the college on the said time and date and inspected the doucments and the copy of which has also been given to me. Notice of LAC meeting to be held on March 06, 2019 was duly served on March 02, 2019 but no proceeding of meeting was recorded. Chairman of Lac Sh. K K Chhabra told me in the meeting on that day that meeting took place on March 06, 2019 and all these matter were discussed and later on conveyed to DAVCMC New Delhi verbally, I was satisfied with the reply of Sh. K.K. Chhabra as he is a respectable person of city and also Chairman of LAC of DAV College, Malout. So, under the circumstances I will not like to proceed further in this case and case may be close on the next date of hearing i.e. October 26, 2020 but with my following observation in your closure order if you think it proper

- (i) It should be advised to PIO who happens to be Principal of the college and exofficio member of the LAC that in future all the proceeding of all the meetings of any type should be recorded and confirmed.
- (ii) A notice of caution may be issued to the then PIO (officiating)Principal at that time Dr. Arun Kalra) that before replying to any RTI, he should have verified the documents and vague reply should have been avoided.
- (iii) In the end I must mention that Chairman of LAC Sh. K.K. Chhabra, Principal Dr. Ekta Khosla (Present PIO), Dr. R.K.Uppal (Associate Professor) and Sh. Anil Kumar, who were present in the meeting, where whatever available documents were shown to me and copy of which was given. All were very cooperative and deserves appreciation.



AC: 4355 of 2019

3. The respondent is absent today.

4. After hearing the appellant and going through his reply, I am of the opinion that the appellant is satisfied and no cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 26.10.2020

Sh. Ajay Kumar, #1, Rose Avenue Colony, Near Improvement Trust Office, Malerkotla (9878432988)



-----Appellant

Vs.

Public Information Officer, O/o EO, Improvement Trust, Malerkotla

First Appellate Authority O/o EO, Improvement Trust, Malerkotla

-----Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4453 of 2019

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Ajay Kumar the appellant (9878432988)

(ii) For the Respondent: None is present on behalf of the respondent $\ensuremath{\textbf{ORDER}}$

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.09.2020 passed by the Commission vide which the appellant was directed to visit the office of the respondent.

2. The appellant Sh. Ajay Kumar states that he had inspected the record but he is not satisfied.

3. The respondent is absent today.

4. The perusal of the file shows that the respondent has sent his proper reply to the commission office. Copy of the same is taken on record. He has also mentioned in his reply that no other information is available in their official record.

5. In view of the above the reply filed by the respondent appears to be convincing, hence, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 26.10.2020

Sh Arjun Sheoran, (M: 95927-71339) #1, Sector 16-A, Chandigarh Punjab State Information

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer-cum-Supdt.(Establishment)Rajiv Gandhi National University of Law, Sidhuwal,District- PatialaFirst Appellate AuthorityO/o Registrar, Rajiv Gandhi National University of Law, Sidhuwal,District- Patiala

.....Respondent

AC No. 1669 of 2020

..Vs

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Ms Amrita Garg, Counsel for the Appellant (ii) For the Respondent: Sh Manoj Kumar Sharma, (9888016788)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.09.2020

vide which the respondent was directed to file an affidavit.

2. Today, the respondent states that he has sent an affidavit to the Commission office.

3. The perusal of the file shows that the respondent has sent an affidavit vide Commission diary no. 14690 dated 23.10.2020 mentioning therein :-

"1. That I am an employee in RGNUL, Punjab Patiala and is working as Superintendent (Establishment) and is also designated as Public Information Officer relating to RTI work.

2. That as directed by the Punjab State Information Commission, Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. I, hereby state that I have supplied the information held by me and sought by the applicant at point no. 19 i.e. the applicant had demanded the copy of the order of inquiry and the same was supplied to him vide reply no. RGNUL/Estt.618 dated 02.09.2019.

That it is further affirmed that no part of the information asked by the applicant in his RTI is pending for reply with me as PIO."

4. In view of the above the reply filed by the respondent appears to be convincing, hence, no cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal filed by the appellant is disposed of and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties. Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 26.10.2020

Smt Saroj Kumari, Advocate District Courts, Main hall, Library/old Post office, District Courts, Kurukshetra. Punjao Stole // formation

.....Complainant Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o Registrar, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh.

.....Respondent

CC: 183 of 2020 (Through CISCO WEBEX)

Present : (i) Smt Saroj Kumari, Appellant

(ii) for the Respondent: Ms Dimple Sharma, Assistant Registrar(98148-41221)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22. 09.2020 passed by the Commission vide which a show cause notice was issued to the respondent-PIO.

2. Today, the Appellant states that the complete information has not been given to her.

3. The Respondent states that the reply in this regard has already been sent to the Complainant. Keeping in view all the facts mentioned in the reply the show cause notice is, hereby, dropped.

4. After hearing both the parties and going through the record available on file it is observed that the information has been provided to the information seeker vide letter dated 19.09.2020. The complainant may take note that this is the complaint case and there is the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).



CC: 183 of 2020

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the complaint filed by the complainant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated :26.10.2020



Sh Gurdeep Singh Kahlon, (M-97795-08115) S/o Late Sh Boorh Singh,C/o Kahlon Association, Opposite Gurudwara Sri Kutia Sahib, Jamalpur, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana

..Vs

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Vigilance Bureau, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana

Public Information Officer, O/o Vigilance Bureau, Vigilance Bhawan, Sector 68, SAS Nagar

.....Respondent

CC No. 644 of 2020 Through CISCO-WEBEX

Present: (i) None for the complainant

(ii) For the Respondent: ASI Anil Kumar (94179-63146) and SI Rajesh Kumar

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.09.2020 passed by the Commission vide which the Respondent no.2 was directed to file their reply.

2. The Complainant Sh. Gurdeep Singh Kahlon is not present today.

3. Sh Rajesh Kumar, SI appearing on behalf of Respondent no.2 has filed his reply vide commission diary no.13885 dated-13.10.2020 which is as under:-

ਹਵਾਲਾ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਹੁੱਕਮ ਮਿਤੀ 28.09.2020 ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ।

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਕਾਹਲੋਂ ਦੀ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਦੀ ਸ਼ਖਾਸਤ ਮਿਤੀ 03.08.2020 ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ, ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਤੋਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਕਟ-2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 6(3) ਤਹਿਤ ਟਰਾਂਸਫਰ ਹੋ ਕੇ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ। ਬਿਨੈਕਾਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਸ਼ੰਧ ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 31403/ਵਬ/ਐਸ-14, ਮਿਤੀ 23.09.2019 ਹੀ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਭੇਜੀ ਗਈ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਮਿਤੀ 18.01.2020 (ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਨੰਬਰ 34/2020 ਪੋਆਣਾ) ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਚਾਰਣ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਮੂਲ ਰੂਪ ਵਿੱਚ ਯੋਗ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ ਤਰਲ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਨੂੰ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 29497/ਵਬ/ਐਸ-12, ਮਿਤੀ 07.09.2020 (ਫੋਟੋ ਕਾਪੀ ਨਾਲ ਜੀ ਹੈ) ਰਾਹੀਂ ਭੇਜ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਇਸ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕੋਈ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਦੀ ਹੈ।**ਅਨੈਕਚਰ ਡੀ-1 (4ਵਰਕ)**

Copy of the same is taken on record.



CC No. 644 of 2020

4. In view of the above the reply filed by the respondent appears to be convincing, hence, no cause of action is left. The Complaint case filed by the Complainant is , therefore , **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 26.10.2020